As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "A METHOD FOR CONTROLLING ANIMAL POPULATIONS UTILIZING A STERILIANT PROJECTILE", the specification of which has been prepared and filed on July 15, 1999, receiving Serial No. 09/354,295, and further identified as Attorney File No. 3635-1.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated Page 3 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)
Number Country

14

gant, mas

Day/Month/Year Filed

Priority Claimed Yes No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 and 119(e) of all United States, provisional and PCT international applications listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.

Filing Date

Status: patented, pending, abandoned

60/093,087

7/16/98

Provisional - Abandoned

(2) Inventor's Signature Lengthist Date 720/98

Inventor's Name (typed):

Leonard Michael Glode

Citizenship:

U.S.

Residence:

25787 Foothills Drive North

Golden, Colorado 80401

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application,
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution for a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to minformation already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of a patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "A METHOD FOR CONTROLLING ANIMAL POPULATIONS UTILIZING A STERILIANT PROJECTILE", the specification of which has been prepared and filed on July 15, 1999, receiving Serial No. 09/354,295, and further identified as Attorney File No. 3635-1.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated Page 3 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Priority Claimed

Number

The same same after the property of the same and the same

Harder M. 2017

Country

Day/Month/Year Filed

Yes No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 and 119(e) of all United States, provisional and PCT international applications listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No. Filing Date Status: patented, pending, abandoned 60/093,087 7/16/98 Provisional - Abandoned

(1) Inventor's Signature Tonance M. Netbate 16 Sept 99

Inventor's Name (typed):

Torrance M. Nett

Citizenship:

U.S.

Residence:

3700 Arapahoe Drive

Ft. Collins, Colorado 80521

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served. and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

  (1) prior art cited in search reports of a factor of a fac §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional
  - prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application,
- E Comp the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

A STATE OF

- Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to W information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (2)
      - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of a patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "HORMONE-RECOMBINANT TOXIN COMPOUNDS AND METHODS FOR USING SAME", the specification of which has been prepared and filed on April 19, 2000, receiving Serial No. 09/551,933, and further identified as Attorney File No. 2730-3-2-4-2.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated Page 3 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Number

Country

Day/Month/Year Filed

Yes

No

parts and come

14

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
09/015,729	4/7/98	Pending
07/314,653	2/23/89	Abandoned
08/481,128	6/7/95	Patented - 5,786,457

(1)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Torrance M. Nett
-	Citizenship:	U.S.
	Residence:	3700 Arapahoc Drive 5457 Brights Lane Ft. Collins, Colorado 80521 Bellove, Colo 80512-583
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
-	*Complete Post Office Address Office Address is "Same as Res	in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post sidence."
(2)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Leonard Michael Glode
	Citizenship:	U.S.
	Residence:	15401 E. Chenango 2124 Pin Die Land Aurora, Colorado 80015 Golden, (1) 8046/
:	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address Office Address is "Same as Re	in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post sidence."
(3)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Maciej Wieczorek
	Citizenship:	
	Residence:	1595 S. Vilas Court Superior, CO 80027
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address Office Address is "Same as Re	s in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post sidence."

(4) Inventor's Signature

Date 7 July 200

Inventor's Name (typed):

Paul J. Jarosz

Citizenship:

MSA

Residence:

The state of the s

10917 Legacy Ridge Way Westminster, CO 80031

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application,
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

and

- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to make a limit of the section of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
      - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of a patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "HORMONE-RECOMBINANT TOXIN COMPOUNDS AND METHODS FOR USING SAME", the specification of which has been prepared and filed on April 19, 2000, receiving Serial No. 09/551,933, and further identified as Attorney File No. 2730-3-2-4-2.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated Page 3 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Applica	ation(s)		Priority (	Claimed
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>

Some street streets are street to see the second of the se

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
09/015,729	4/7/98	Pending
07/314,653	2/23/89	Abandoned
08/481,128	6/7/95	Patented - 5,786,457

(1)	Inventor's Signature Jona	nce M. Nett Date 7-12-00
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Torrance M. Nett
-	Citizenship:	U.S.
	Residence:	5457 Brianna Lane Bellvue, Colorado 80512-5850
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address in for Office Address is "Same as Resider	all if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post ace."
(2)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Leonard Michael Glode
	Citizenship:	U.S.
	Residence:	15401 E. Chenango 2124 Pine Drop Lane Aurora, Colorado 80015 Golden, (alo 8040)
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address in f Office Address is "Same as Reside	ull if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post nce."
(3)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Maciej Wieczorek
	Citizenship:	
	Residence:	1595 S. Vilas Court Superior, CO 80027
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address in f Office Address is "Same as Reside	full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Pos nce."

(4)	Inventor's Signature	Date	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Paul J. Jarosz	
	Citizenship:		
-	Residence:	10917 Legacy Ridge Way Westminster, CO 80031	

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine: on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application,
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to winformation already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
      - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of a patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "HORMONE-RECOMBINANT TOXIN COMPOUNDS AND METHODS FOR USING SAME", the specification of which has been prepared and filed on April 19, 2000, receiving Serial No. 09/551,933, and further identified as Attorney File No. 2730-3-2-4-2.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated Page 3 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Applic	ation(s)		Priority (	Claimed
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>

The state of the s

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
09/015,729	4/7/98	Pending
07/314,653	2/23/89	Abandoned
08/481,128	6/7/95	Patented - 5,786,457

(1)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Torrance M. Nett
-	Citizenship:	U.S.
	Residence:	3700 Arapahoe Drive 5457 Brianna Lane Et Collins, Colorado 80521 Bellyve, (610. 80512-5850
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address in f Office Address is "Same as Reside	full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post ence."
(2)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Leonard Michael Glode
	Citizenship:	U.S.
	Residence:	15401 E. Chenango 2124 Pine Dip Land Aurora, Colorado 80015 Gilden. (610 8046)
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address in Office Address is "Same as Reside	full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post ence."
(3)	Inventor's Signature May	hlel Date 7/7/2000
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Maciej Wieczorek
	Citizenship:	<u>U. S.</u>
	Residence:	1595 S. Vilas Court Superior, CO 80027
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Address in Office Address is "Same as Resid	full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post ence."

(4)	Inventor's Signature	Date	<del></del>
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Paul J. Jarosz	
	Citizenship:		
	Residence:	10917 Legacy Ridge Way Westminster, CO 80031	

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, (1) and
- the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution Nof a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (2)
    - Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (i)
    - Asserting an argument of a patentability. (ii)

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "HORMONE-RECOMBINANT TOXIN COMPOUNDS AND METHODS FOR USING SAME", the specification of which has been prepared and filed on April 19, 2000, receiving Serial No. 09/551,933, and further identified as Attorney File No. 2730-3-2-4-2.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated Page 3 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Number Country

Day/Month/Year Filed

Yes No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
09/015,729 07/314,653	4/7/98 2/23/89	Pending Abandoned
08/481,128	6/7/95	Patented - 5,786,457

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1)	Inventor's Signature		Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Torrance M. Nett	•
	Cîtizenship:	U.S.	
	Residence:	5457 Brianna Lane Bellvue, Colorado 805	12-5850
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
·	*Complete Post Office Address in fu Office Address is "Same as Residen		nce, otherwise indicate that the Post
(2)	Inventor's Signature Cerus	I Godd Oll	Date 8(17/0)
1	Inventor's Name (typed):	Leonard Michael Glode	
- 100 mm	Citizenship:	U.S.	
	Residence:	2124 Pine Drop Lane Golden, Colorado 8040	1
A J	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
	*Complete Post Office Address in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Office Address is "Same as Residence."		
(3)	Inventor's Signature		Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Maciej Wieczorck	
	Citizenship:		
	Residence:	1595 S. Vilas Court Superior, CO 80027	
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
	*Complete Post Office Address in fu Office Address is "Same as Residen		nce, otherwise indicate that the Post

(4)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Paul J. Jarosz
	Citizenship:	
	Residence:	10917 Legacy Ridge Way Westminster, CO 80031

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

<sup>\*</sup>Complete Post Office Address in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Office Address is "Same as Residence."

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, (a) and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application,
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
      - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of a patentability.

Ø

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*